



**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
COUNTY DEPARTMENT, COUNTY DIVISION**

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 2026-02

SUBJECT: Amended Agreed Judgment Orders

Due to the ongoing delay in the implementation of the Cook County Integrated Property Tax System (IPTS) upgrades, which delay continues to impact several Cook County property tax offices and the Treasurer's generation of "Schedule A tax and refund calculations," which in turn impacts the resolution of tax objection cases in the County Division; and in an effort to get settled cases processed and Agreed Judgment Orders entered; effective February 10, 2026, all Agreed Judgment Orders shall be in the form attached hereto which includes a Schedule A exhibit with tax and refund calculations prepared by plaintiffs' counsel.

This Administrative Order will be in effect until the Cook County Integrated Property Tax System upgrades are operational.

Entered this 6th day of February, 2026 and spread upon the records of this Court.

ENTERED:

Maureen Ward Kirby 1974
Judge Maureen Ward Kirby
Presiding Judge, County Division

ENTERED

Feb. 6, 2026

Mariyana T. Spyropoulos
Clerk of the Circuit Court
of Cook County, IL

DEPUTY CLERK **MCH**

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
COUNTY DEPARTMENT, COUNTY DIVISION

)
)
[PLAINTIFF NAME],)
)
Plaintiff,) [TAX YEAR]
vs.) Valuation Objection
Maria Pappas) [CASE NUMBER]
Cook County Treasurer and)
Ex Officio County Collector,) THIS MATTER IS ASSIGNED TO
Defendant.) CALENDAR: [CAL. NUMBER]
)

AGREED JUDGMENT ORDER (8001)

THIS CAUSE HAS COME ON TO BE HEARD on the Application of the Cook County Treasurer and Ex-Officio County Collector of Cook County, Illinois, Defendant, appearing by her attorney, State's Attorney of Cook County, Illinois, and on the objection of Plaintiff [PLAINTIFF NAME], appearing by its attorneys, [ATTORNEY(S) AND LAW FIRM NAME(S)], and both parties having agreed to settle this objection pursuant to 35 ILCS 200/23-30. Based upon the following:

1. The [TAX YEAR] assessed valuation of [TAX BILL AV], before equalization, is excessive.

The correct [TAX YEAR] assessed valuation, before equalization, is [REVISED AV].

2. Applying the applicable equalization factor of [EQUALIZER] and the applicable tax rate of [TAX RATE]% to the correct assessed valuation of [REVISED AV] results in an equalized assessed valuation of [REVISED EAV] and a correct tax of [REVISED TAX].

Applying the same equalization factor and tax rate to the excess assessed valuation in the amount of [EXCESS AV] results in an equalized assessed valuation of [EXCESS EAV] and excess payment

of taxes in the amount of [TAX REFUND].

3. The Defendant is hereby ordered to refund to the Plaintiff the sum of [TAX REFUND], plus statutory interest, constituting the excess amount of taxes paid under protest for the year [TAX YEAR].

4. The Defendant shall make appropriate notations of this order in the proper real estate tax warrant book, and the County Clerk shall make appropriate notations of this order in the proper property tax judgment, sale forfeiture and redemption record.

5. However, the Defendant has represented to the Court that due to temporary but ongoing Cook County computer systems transition issues the Defendant and the Clerk are currently unable to make notations of this order in the proper real estate tax warrant book and/or the proper property tax judgment, sale forfeiture and redemption record.

6. The above-noted computer system transition issues are also such that the Defendant has represented that her office is currently unable to produce a Schedule A exhibit through the County's electronic tax records with tax and refund calculations that would ordinarily be attached to an Agreed Judgment Order such as this order.

7. Because the Defendant is currently unable to produce a Schedule A through the County's electronic tax records, the parties have agreed that Plaintiff produce the attached Schedule A with tax and refund calculations, and the Defendant has reviewed and agreed to these calculations subject to final verification and reconciliation with the proper County tax books and records by the Defendant after Plaintiff presents this order to Defendant for the issuance of the refund amounts set forth in this order.

8. This Court retains jurisdiction in this matter to make any necessary amendments to this order and the attached Schedule A exhibit to correct any tax or refund calculation discrepancies that are identified

by the parties after presentation of this order to the Defendant.

9. The parties are directed to meet and confer to attempt to resolve such discrepancies before bringing them to the Court's attention or before pursuing any enforcement or other cause of action arising from the discrepancies.

10. Pursuant to 35 ILCS 200/23-30 of the Property Tax Code a Memorandum of Settlement which supports this compromise is being filed with the court as well as documents supporting the settlement.

11. The Clerk shall strike all future court dates.

ENTER: _____
Judge

APPROVED BY THE PARTIES
AS TO THE AMOUNT OF REFUND:

EILEEN O'NEILL BURKE
STATE'S ATTORNEY OF COOK COUNTY

BY: _____

ADDRESS OF SUBJECT PROPERTY: [PROPERTY ADDRESS]
PROPERTY INDEX NUMBER: [PIN(S)]

[ATTORNEY NAME(S)]
[LAW FIRM NAME], Attorney No. [ATTORNEY NUMBER]
[LAW FIRM STREET ADDRESS]
[LAW FIRM CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE]
[LAW FIRM PHONE NUMBER]
[ATTORNEY EMAIL]