Pretrial Fairness Act (PFA) Weekly Dashboard

September 18, 2023 – December 20, 2025

The Pretrial Fairness Act (PFA) Dashboard is a cumulative summary of initial decision points for criminal cases filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County since the Pretrial Fairness Act took effect on September 18, 2023. While the dashboard presents data, it should not be considered an analysis of the effectiveness of the Pretrial Fairness Act compared to the criminal justice system prior to the Act.

Data sources are: administrative data from the Enterprise Justice Case Management System (CMS) maintained by the Clerk of the Circuit Court; Public Safety Assessments; assignments to and weekly caseloads for pretrial supervision, Home Confinement Unit (HCU) – Curfew Program, and the Domestic Violence Exclusion Zone Program all collected and maintained by Adult Probation's Pretrial Services Unit and the Social Services Department; and publicly available information on the daily jail and Sheriff's electronic monitoring program. Summary data for the dashboard are compiled by staff from the Office of the Chief Judge.¹

The dashboard reports:

- The volume and top charge composition of criminal misdemeanor, domestic violence, and felony cases filed since the PFA effective date.
- Three major pretrial decision points:
 - Law enforcement's decision to release with a citation or hold for first appearance
 - The Cook County State's Attorney Office (SAO) decision to file a petition to detain for cases in which there is a detention eligible charge
 - The Court's decision to grant or deny the state's petitions to detain.²
- Two measures for individuals released during their pretrial period:
 - Court Appearance Rates and
 - Community Safety Rates
- Adult Probation Department Pretrial Services and Social Services Department activity:
 - Number of completed Public Safety Assessments and
 - Pretrial Services caseload dynamics
- Changes in the Cook County Sheriff's custodial population.

¹ Each week, OCJ rebuilds cumulative numbers with the addition of a new week of data. However, all differences in the cumulative data in Tables 1 through 4 and Figures 1A through 7 from the current week and the prior week will not be due entirely to case activities that occurred in the new week. Lag in data entry will account for a small portion of this difference. Improvements in the programming that processes Clerk data will also account for some week over week differences in new filings, top offense, and other dashboard measures.

² Formally, detention eligible charges are those in 725 ILCS 5/110-6.1 Sections (a)(1) non-probationable felony based on charge/background; (a) (1.5) forcible felony; (a)(2) stalking; (a)(3) violation of a protective order; (a)(4) domestic battery/aggravated domestic battery/aggr

Table 1 shows the composition of all criminal cases filed since PFA effective date.


- To date, 146,056 criminal cases have been filed and recorded in the Enterprise Justice CMS. Forty-three percent of all filings had a top charge of misdemeanor or other charge type, 21% were domestic violence cases, and 37% were felony cases.
- The first appearance hearing for 60% (87,064) of criminal cases were held in District One, 14% (20,106) were held in the Domestic Violence Division, and the remaining 26% (38,886) were held in Districts Two through Six.

Table 1. Criminal Cases Filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County Since the Pretrial Fairness Act Effective Date by First Appearance Location and Top Filing Charge Level: 09/18/2023 – 12/20/2025

First Appearance	Cases	Top Filing Charge Level									
Hearing Location*	Filed	Mis	d./Other**	Dom.	. Violence***	Felony					
		Row Count	Row Percent	Row Count	Row Percent	Row Count	Row Percent				
District One	87,064	41,512	48%	9,423	11%	36,129	41%				
Domestic Violence Division	20,106	228	1%	19,785	98%	93	<1%				
District Two	5,210	2,156	41%	29	<1%	3,025	58%				
District Three	6,684	3,316	50%	181	3%	3,187	48%				
District Four	8,450	4,372	52%	80	1%	3,998	47%				
District Five	8,965	5,144	57%	220	2%	3,601	40%				
District Six	9,577	5,382	56%	539	6%	3,656	38%				
Total by Top Filing Charge	146,056	62,110	43%	30,257	21%	53,689	37%				

^{*} First appearances on weekends and holiday weekdays are conducted in the Leighton Criminal Courthouse.

Figure 1 summarizes top filing charge offense category among the criminal cases filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County since the PFA effective date.



^{*} Other offense category is composed of motor vehicle, disorderly conduct, offender registration violations, VOBB/VOP/Parole, warrant, and other miscellaneous offenses. Person offense category include assault, battery, child neglect and other miscellaneous person offenses. Violent offense category is composed of four offense types: murder and non-negligent manslaughter, rape, robbery, and aggravated assault as defined by the U.S. Department of Justice – Federal Bureau of Investigation.

^{**} In most instances, 'other' charges are misdemeanors or less often felonies with insufficient information to permit algorithmic classification. Manual classification of these charges is not feasible.

^{***} Domestic violence cases have a 'DV' case type designation and are criminal actions that involve a relationship defined by the Illinois Domestic Violence Act Domestic violence cases are Class 1, 2, and 3 felonies through preliminary hearing, class 4 felonies, and misdemeanors. Of 30,257 cases with this designation, 1,863 (6%) were felonies, 28,229 (93%) were misdemeanors, and 165 (<1%) were unknown class.


Decision Point 1: Law Enforcement Decision to Cite and Release or to Hold for First Appearance Hearing


Figure 2A summarizes outcomes at the first PFA decision point (decision by law enforcement to cite and release or to hold for first appearance hearing) for

criminal cases filed since the PFA effective date.

Among criminal cases filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County since the PFA effective date:

- 42% of the cases were cited and released by law enforcement.
- 57% of cases were held by law enforcement for a first appearance hearing:
 - 26% of cases were held on a non-detainable charge.
 - 31% of cases were held on a detainable charge.
- 1% of cases were initiated via information or indictment.
 These cases are not included in Figure 2B.





PFA Dashboard – Publish Date 12/24/2025 Page 3 of 9

Figure 3 summarizes outcomes for criminal cases that were held for a first appearance hearing by law enforcement in the Circuit Court of Cook County since PFA effective date:

 46% of the cases held by law enforcement had only nondetention eligible charges, and these cases were released with conditions at the first appearance hearing.

Petitions for Detention Filed by Cook County SAO

Of the 44,924 criminal cases held for a first appearance hearing with a detention eligible charge:

- 61% did not have a petition for detention filed by the Cook County SAO and were released with conditions at the first appearance hearing.
- 39% of cases had a petition for detention filed by the Cook County SAO and moved directly to a detention hearing.




Table 2 summarizes the frequency with which the Cook County SAO filed a verified petition for detention, by most serous filing charge, for cases held for a first appearance hearing and that had a detention-eligible charge. For these cases:

- 26% of the misdemeanor cases had a petition for detention filed.
- 60% of the felony cases had a petition for detention filed.
- 25% of the domestic violence cases had a petition for detention filed.

Table 2. Outcomes for Cases Held by Law Enforcement for First Appearance Hearing in the Circuit Court of Cook County by Most Serious Charge: 09/18/2023 - 12/20/2025

by Wost Schods Charge: 05/ 10/2025									
Held by Law Enforcement for First Appearance Hearing	Mi	sd./Other	Dom. Violence		Felony		Overall		
Held by Law Linoicement for First Appearance Hearing	Column Count	Column Percent	Column Count	Column Percent	Column Count	Column Percent	Column Count	Column Percent	
 Held with Non-Detainable Charge, Released w/Conditions 	16,641	98%	3,185	11%	18,712	50%	38,538	46%	
Held with Detainable Charge	304	2%	26,159	89%	18,461	50%	44,924	54%	
Total Held for First Appearance Hearing	16,945	100%	29,344	100%	37,173	100%	83,462	100%	
SAO Decision to File a Petition for Detention	Column Count	Column Percent	Column Count	Column Percent	Column Count	Column Percent	Column Count	Column Percent	
Petition to Detain Filed by SAO	79	26%	6,559	25%	10,988	60%	17,626	39%	
Petition to Detain Not Filed by SAO	225	74%	19,600	75%	7,473	40%	27,298	61%	
Total Held with a Detainable Charge	304	100%	26,159	100%	18,461	100%	44,924	100%	

Figure 4A summarizes detention hearing outcomes for cases that had a verified petition for detention filed by the SAO at the first appearance hearing.

 Three out of five (62%) detention petitions filed at first appearance were granted and defendant was held in custody.





Figure 4B summarizes outcomes for cases held by a petition for a detention hearing filed by the SAO, by top filing charge.



PFA Dashboard – Publish Date 12/24/2025 Page 5 of 9

Court Appearance Rate Among Criminal Cases Filed and Released Pretrial Since PFA Effective Date

Figure 5 depicts the preliminary court appearance rate for defendants on pretrial release since the PFA effective date.

Of the 133,802 criminal defendants on pretrial release, a subset of 132,527 defendants with an initial hearing scheduled on or before December 20, 2025 was used to calculate the court appearance rate in Figure 5 and Table 3.

- 86% of criminal defendants have not had a warrant for failure to appear issued for nonappearance at scheduled court date.
- 14% of criminal defendants have missed a scheduled hearing date and the court has issued a warrant for failure to appear.⁴




Table 3 summarizes court appearance rate by stage at which defendant was released pretrial and top filing charge.

Table 3. Court Appearance Rate for Defendants with a Case Filed and Released Pretrial with an Initial Hearing Scheduled on or Before 12/20/2025

	Misd./Other Dom. Violence		ice	Felony			Overall					
Pretrial Release via:	Total Court Appe Pretrial		pearance Total Pretrial		Court Appearance		Total Pretrial	Court Appearance		Total Pretrial	Court Appearance	
	Release	Number	Rate	Release	Number	Rate	Release	Number	Rate	Release	Number	Rate
Cite & Release by Law Enforcement	43,888	37,628	86%	649	595	92%	15,707	12,318	78%	60,244	50,541	84%
Held for First Appearance or Detention Hearing												
 Non-Detainable, Released at 1st App. w/Conditions 	16,625	14,884	90%	3,180	2,939	92%	18,699	14,787	79%	38,504	32,610	85%
 Detainable w/No Pet. for Det. Released at 1st App w/Conditions 	225	215	96%	19,577	18,446	94%	7,457	6,523	87%	27,259	25,184	92%
 Detainable, Pet for Det. Denied, Released at Det. Hearing w/Conditions 	51	49	96%	3,196	2,982	93%	3,273	2,947	90%	6,520	5,978	92%
Total on Pretrial Release with an Initial Hearing Scheduled Date	60,789	52,776	87%	26,602	24,962	94%	45,136	36,575	81%	132,527	114,313	86%


³ Consistent with 725 ILCS 5/110-3, a warrant not quashed on the date of issuance that is in response to a non-appearance is considered a failure to appear.

⁴ This is a point-in- time measure that does not adjust for defendants' time on pretrial release. The rate of missing a scheduled hearing date may increase with the length of time that defendants remain in the community prior to case disposition.

Community Safety Rate Among Criminal Cases Filed and Released Pretrial Since PFA Effective Date

Figure 6 depicts the community safety rate for defendants on pretrial release since the PFA effective date. From the PFA effective date to December 20, 2025:

- 83% of criminal defendants have not been charged with a new misdemeanor or felony offense while on pretrial release.⁵
- 94% have not been charged with any new violent or person crimes while on pretrial release.



⁵ This is a point in time measure that does not adjust for defendants' time on pretrial release. OCJ uses case filing date as the new criminal activity date. The rate of new criminal activity may increase with the length of time that defendants remain in the community prior to case disposition.


PFA Dashboard - Publish Date 12/24/2025

Table 4 summarizes community safety rate by stage at which defendant was released pretrial and top filing charge.

Table 4. Community Safety Rate for Defendants with a Case Filed and Released Pretrial: 09/18/2024 – 12/20/2025

Pretrial Release via:		Misd./Other		Dom. Violence		Felony			Overall			
		Community Safety		Total Pretrial		Community Safety		Comm Safe		Total Pretrial	Comm Safe	,
	Release	Number	Rate	Release	Number	Rate	Release	Number	Rate	Release	Number	Rate
■ Cite & Release by Law Enforcement	44,940	37,197	83%	650	560	86%	15,854	13,292	84%	61,444	51,049	83%
Held for First Appearance Hearing												
 Non-Detainable, Released at 1st App. w/Conditions 	16,641	13,153	79%	3,185	2,780	87%	18,712	14,200	76%	38,538	30,133	78%
■ Detainable w/No Pet. for Det. Released at 1st App w/Conditions	225	185	82%	19,600	17,923	91%	7,473	6,250	84%	27,298	24,358	89%
 Detainable, Pet. for Det. Denied, Released at Det. Hearing w/Conditions 	51	28	55%	3,196	2,834	89%	3,275	2,827	86%	6,522	5,689	87%
Total on Pretrial Release with an Initial Hearing Scheduled Date	61,857	50,563	82%	26,631	24,097	90%	45,314	36,569	81%	133,802	111,229	83%

Figure 7 summarizes community safety rate by top filing charge and new alleged crime.



Adult Probation Department (APD) Pretrial Services Since PFA Effective Date

In Cook County, Pretrial Services completes Public Safety Assessments (PSA) and monitors defendants ordered to pretrial supervision, which includes two separate electronic monitoring programs operated by APD's Home Confinement Unit (HCU) - the Curfew Program and the Domestic Violence (DV) Exclusion Zone Program.⁶

Figure 8 provides a cumulative count of the number of PSAs that have been completed since the PFA effective date.

Table 5 shows the cumulative population dynamics and the percent change in the pretrial services population since the PFA effective date.⁷

The overall pretrial services population **increased 73%** from 6,432 on September 17, 2023 to 11,159 on December 20, 2025.

- The supervision only population increased 74%
- The daily HCU Curfew population increased 119%
- The daily HCU DV Exclusion Zone population increased 18%.




Table 5. Pretrial Services Population Dynamics Since the PFA Effective Date

Population Type	Population on: 09/17/2023	Placed on PT	Exits from PT	Population on: 12/20/2025	Percent Change
Overall Population	6,432	49,361	44,634	11,159	†73%
 Pretrial Supervision Only 	4,716	38,487	35,011	8,192	↑74%
 HCU Curfew Program 	934	9,263	8,153	2,044	†119%
 HCU DV Exclusion Zone 	782	1,611	1,470	923	18%

Cook County Jail Population Change Since PFA Effective Date

Table 6 provides the percentage change in the population under the custody of the Sheriff since the PFA effective date.

Since PFA effective date, the number of defendants in Sheriff's custody has **decreased 16%** from 7,265 on September 17, 2023 to 6,128 on December 20, 2025.

- The jail's daily confined population on the two snapshot days increased
 5% from 5,419 to 5,674.
- The Sheriff's Community Corrections (Electronic Monitoring) population decreased 75% from 1,846 to 454.

Table 6. Percent Change in the Population Under the Custody of the Sheriff's Office Since the PFA Effective Date

	Under Custody	Percent		
Population Type	09/17/2023	12/20/2025	Change	
Total Under Sheriff Custody	7,265	6,128	¹16%	
 Confined Population 	5,419	5,674	↑5%	
 Community Corrections (Sheriff's EM) 	1,846	454	[↓] 75%	

⁶ The Adult Probation Department's Home Confinement Unit (HCU) operates two separate electronic monitoring programs for two distinct populations, the Curfew Program and the Domestic Violence Exclusion Zone Program (previously known as the HCU Bischof Program). Neither system is superior to the other, but they are appropriate for different purposes. The Curfew program uses both radio frequency ("RF") and Global Positioning Systems ("GPS") technology to monitor and enforce curfews that are a condition of release or probation. The DV Exclusion Zone program operates under the authority of the Cindy Bischof Law, and is designed to provide a layer of protection for victims of certain domestic violence offenses. This program uses a GPS ankle bracelet to continuously monitor the defendant's whereabouts.

⁷ Each week, OCJ adds new program data to the cumulative counts in Table 4. However, all differences in the cumulative data between the current week and prior weeks are not due entirely to new activity. Delays in entry and corrections to GPS and Curfew activation data contribute to these differences. Some small fraction of the pretrial population will be on warrant status.